

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
'Kamat Towers' Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

Shri Prashant S.P. Tendolkar,
State Chief Information Commissioner

Appeal No.107/2018/CIC

Namdev G. Shetgaonkar,
House No.28, Near Cozy Hotel,
Headland, Sada –Goa-403804. Appellant

V/s

- 1) Dy. Collector & SDO/
The Public Information Officer,
Pernem Sub –Division,
Pernem-Goa 403512.
- 2)The Additional Collector-I,
Revenue Branch, Collectorate Bldg.,
Panaji Goa -403001. Respondents

Filed on :04/05/05/2018

Disposed on:25/07/2018

The below order is passed in the above matter on
25/07/2018

“Taken up before CIC:

Appellant present in person. PIO, Shri Sudhir Kerkar present. Shri Sagar Naik, AK from the office of FAA present. PIO filed reply, copy furnished to the appellant. Arguments heard.

On going through to the records and after considering the arguments it is seen that the appellant has sought for a copy of the report submitted by the respondent authority, i.e. office of Dy. Collector to the Additional Collector-I in response to the memo, dated 03/10/2017 received from the Adl. Collector –I. The appellant does not dispute having received the copy of the letter dated 19/10/2017 along with report dated 21/4/16. Considering

...2/-

the said letter, dated 19/10/2017 it is seen that the PIO has furnished the copy of the letter send by the office of Dy. Collector to the Additional Collector –I in response to its said memorandum dated 03/10/2017. As per the said letter dated 19/10/2017 and the enclosure, the report is submitted based on a complaint of one Smt. Savitri Shetgaonkar pertaining to same subject. The office of the Dy Collector has relied upon one report only in respect of both the complaints i.e. of appellant herein and that of Smt. Savitri Shetgaokar. In these circumstances commission holds that the information as was sought is furnished within stipulated time.

It is the contention of the appellant that the respondent authority ought to have conducted a separate inquiry on his personal complain and submit the report to the Additional Collector-I. Such a requirement of the appellant is beyond the scope and powers of this commission as any orders to conduct separate inquiry would result in directing to create information for being furnished. In these circumstances cannot be considered by this commission and shall be required to approach the authority competent to order such inquiry.

In the above circumstances, nothing remains to be decided in the appeal and hence the same stands disposed accordingly. However the rights of appellant to seek further information on the subject are kept open.

Proceedings closed. Notify the parties. Pronounced in the open proceedings.”

Sd/-

(Mr. Prashant S. P. Tendolkar)
State Chief Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission
Panaji-Goa

